1(a). Nanoparticles are very small particles.

Put a round the correct range for the size of nanoparticles.

0.1 to 1 nm1 to 100 nm100 to 200 nm200 to 1000 nm(b). Which statements about nanoparticles are true and which are false?

Put a tick (\checkmark) in one box in each row.

	True	False
Nanoparticles can be used to make sports equipment stronger.		
Nanoparticles can occur naturally.		
Nanoparticles have the same properties as larger particles.		
Nanoparticles are about the same size as some molecules.		

(c). Doctors use stitches to hold together large cuts so that they can heal properly.

A hospital is considering buying a new type of material to use for stitches. They need to choose between a material that contains silver nanoparticles and a material that does not.

Which material should they choose?

Justify your answer by explaining the risks and benefits of using each.

[] [] [] [] [] []

[2]

[1]

PhysicsAndMathsTutor.com

2(a). Nanoparticles of cerium oxide, CeO₂, are added to diesel fuel.

They act as a catalyst for the combustion of the fuel.

Describe a property of nanoparticles that makes them good catalysts.

	[4]			
	424			
The addition of non-particles allows many complete combustion of the first				

(b). The addition of nanoparticles allows more complete combustion of the fuel.

Kai talks about nanoparticles in diesel fuel.



Evaluate Kai's statement.

In your answer give arguments for and against the use of nanoparticles.

[3]

END OF QUESTION PAPER

Question		n	Answer/Indicative content	Marks	Guidance
1	а		1 to 100 nm;	1	
	b		SentenceTrueFalse to make sports✓equipment.✓ can occur naturally.✓ same properties as✓larger✓ same size as some✓molecules.✓	2	All four correct = 2 marks 3 or 2 correct = 1 mark 1 or 0 correct = 0 mark
	С		nanoparticle material is stronger / nanoparticle material has antibacterial properties; nanoparticles may be harmful to the body / nanoparticles not been fully investigated / long term effects / unknown effects; (Chooses either material) with idea of risks outweigh benefits or vice versa:	3	Ignore: may enter body / side effects / harmful to the environment / cost / harmful alone Allow named long term effect eg cancer
			Total	6	
2	а		large surface area to volume ratio / (very) small but have a large surface area ✓	1 (AO 1.1)	Examiner's Comments The high surface area to volume ratio of nanoparticles was very well known.

Question	Answer/Indicative content	Marks	Guidance
b	For: (More complete combustion hence) less pollutants / less harmful gases / less incomplete combustion /less named pollutant: (carbon) particulates / carbon monoxide / CO, unburnt fuel/hydrocarbons AW ✓	3 (AO 3.1b)	IGNORE 'more complete combustion' alone (repeats Q) IGNORE 'less pollution'
	Carbon monoxide is toxic//blocks haemoglobin / CO or particulates or unburnt HCs cause breathing or respiratory difficulties / particulates cause asthma/breathing difficulties / may cause cancer etc ✓	(AO 1.1)	
	Against: CeO₂/nanoparticles (may be) harmful / toxic / risks not known ✓	(AO 3.1b)	ALLOW idea of nanoparticles may harm humans, plants, animals or the environment IGNORE 'nanoparticles may be pollutants /cause pollution' (too vague) 16 Examiner's Comments Almost all candidates were able to give arguments either for or against nanoparticles. Most either stated one of the benefits of ensuring complete combustion by identifying one of the products of incomplete combustion (such as carbon monoxide) and linking this to a health issue (such as toxicity). Many candidates also know that the health effects of nanoparticles are not yet fully known. In answering this type of question, candidates are advised to ensure that they answer both 'sides' of the argument rather than giving too much detail about one 'side'
	Total	4	